arXiv:math/0609676v1 [math.OA] 25 Sep 2006

UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

RALUCA DUMITRU

Abstract. Let v be the right regular representation of a compact quantum group G. Then ([6], Proposition 4.4) v contains all irreducible representations of G and each irreducible representation enters v with the multiplicity equal to its dimension. The result is certainly known for classical compact groups ([1]). The aim of this paper is to give a short survey on this subject and to provide a different proof of Woronowicz's result. The proof is an adaptation of the corresponding result for classical compact groups and provides a concrete decomposition of the right regular representation in irreducible components.

Quantum groups have been studied in the last decades by a large number of mathematicians and physicists. There are several approaches to the theory of quantum groups but the one used in this paper is the C*-algebraic approach introduced by S. L. Woronowicz ([5], [6]).

Definition 1 ([6],Definition 2.1)**.** *A compact quantum group G is given by a pair (A,Δ) with A a unital C*-algebra and $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$ a unital $*$-homomorphism with the following properties:*

(1) *The diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & A \otimes A \arrow[d, "\Delta \otimes id"] \\ A \otimes A \arrow[r, "id \otimes \Delta"'] & A \otimes A \otimes A \end{tikzcd}$$

(2)

$$\overline{(A \otimes 1)\Delta(A)} = A \otimes A$$

$$\overline{(1 \otimes A)\Delta(A)} = A \otimes A$$

Note that the tensor product is the minimal tensor product and that there is no separability assumption on A as in Woronowicz's original definition ([6], Definition 2.1). The separability assumption was used by Woronowicz for proving the existence of the Haar state. In [4] Van Daele proved that this assumption is not necessary

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G42, 46L05.

Key words and phrases. compact quantum groups, right regular representation, irreducible representation.

by showing that a Haar state exists and is unique without assuming that A is separable. The result is the following:

Proposition 2 ([6], Theorem 2.3; [4], Proposition 2.4)**.** *Let G=(A,Δ) be a compact quantum group. Then there exists a unique state h on A with the property*

$$(id \otimes h)\Delta(a) = (h \otimes id)\Delta(a) = h(a) \cdot 1_A$$

Then h is called the Haar state on G. Note that the Haar state is not always faithful as in the case of classical compact groups. There exists however a subset of A, denoted by $\mathcal{A}$, on which h is faithful. $\mathcal{A}$ is called the Woronowicz-Hopf $*$-algebra and is defined in terms of irreducible unitary representations of G. Applying the GNS construction to h we obtain a Hilbert space H_h, a representation π_h of A and a cyclic vector ξ_h. If $a \in A$ (or $a \in \mathcal{A}$) and f is a bounded linear functional (on A or $\mathcal{A}$), we denote ([5], [6])

$$a * f = (f \otimes \iota)(\Delta(a))$$
$$f * a = (\iota \otimes f)(\Delta(a))$$

Both $a * f$ and $f * a$ are elements of A (respectively $\mathcal{A}$).

Let H be a Hilbert space and denote by $K(H)$ the set of compact operators on H. A unitary representation u of G acting on a Hilbert space H is a unitary element in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(K(H) \otimes A)$ with the property $(id \otimes \Delta)u = u_{12}u_{13}$. The leg numbering notation used (u_{12}, u_{13}) is the one obtained from the two possible inclusions of $K(H) \otimes A$ in $K(H) \otimes A \otimes A$. The representation u is called irreducible if the operators intertwining u (i.e. $S \in B(H_u)$ such that $(S \otimes 1)u = u(S \otimes 1)$, where H_u denotes the Hilbert space on which u acts) are scalar multiples of the identity. If u is irreducible then it is finite dimensional (i.e. $dim H_u < \infty$) and if we denote by $d = dim H_u$ then d is called the dimension of u. By choosing an orthonormal basis in H_u, the Hilbert space of an irreducible representation u, we can identify u with a matrix $(u_{ij})_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d}$ over A.

Two unitary representations u and v are called equivalent (denoted by $u \sim v$) if and only if there exists $U : H_u \to H_v$ unitary such that $(U \otimes 1)u = v(U \otimes 1)$. Let $\widehat{G}$ denote the dual of G, i.e. the set of all unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G ([5], [6]). For each $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$, denote by u^α a representative of each class. Let $\{u^\alpha_{ij}\}_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d_\alpha} \subset A$ be the matrix elements of u^α. Then the Woronowicz-Hopf $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = lin\{u^\alpha_{ij} \mid u^\alpha \in \widehat{G},\ 1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d_\alpha\}$$

Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a dense $*$-subalgebra of A ([6], Propositions 5.2, 6.1, 6.2).

For any $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$ one can construct the contragradient representation

$$\overline{u^\alpha} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\alpha} m_{ij} \otimes {u^\alpha_{ij}}^*$$

Note that $\overline{u^\alpha}$ is an irreducible representation of G but is not necessarily unitary. However, it is equivalent to a unitary representation of G. Using ([5], Theorem 5.2) one can show that $\forall\ \alpha \in \widehat{G}$, $\exists\ \beta \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\overline{u^\alpha}$ is equivalent to u^β. The representation u^β will be called the conjugate representation and will be denoted by $u^{\overline{\alpha}}$. Notice that due to this equivalence the coefficients of the conjugate representation are linear combinations of ${u^\alpha_{ij}}^*$ and both $lin\{u^{\overline{\alpha}}_{ij} \mid 1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d_{\overline{\alpha}}\}$ and $lin\{{u^\alpha_{ij}}^* \mid 1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d_\alpha\}$ are linear basis in $p_\alpha H_h$.

Let $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$ and set $\chi_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} u^\alpha_{ii}$. Then χ_α is called the character of u^α. If H_α is the finite dimensional Hilbert space of the representation u^α, then by ([5], [6]) there exists a unique positive invertible operator $F_\alpha \in B(H_\alpha)$ that intertwines u^α with its double contragradient representation $\overline{\overline{u^\alpha}}$, such that $Tr(F_\alpha) = Tr(F_\alpha^{-1})$. The operator F_α can be represented as a matrix $F_\alpha = [f_1(u^\alpha_{ij})]_{i,j}$, with f_1 linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$. Set $M_\alpha = Tr(F_\alpha)$ and $a_\alpha = M_\alpha(f_1 * \chi_\alpha)^*$. Clearly, $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 3 ([5], Theorem 5.7)**.** *With the notations introduced above the following relations hold:*

(1) $h(u^\alpha_{mk}(u^\beta_{nl})^*) = \frac{1}{M_\alpha}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{mn}f_1(u^\alpha_{lk})$
(2) $h((u^\alpha_{km})^* u^\beta_{ln}) = \frac{1}{M_\alpha}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{mn}f_{-1}(u^\alpha_{lk})$
(3) $h(a_\alpha u^\beta_{nl}) = \frac{1}{M_\alpha}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{nl}$
(4) $h((u^\beta_{nl})^*(a_\alpha)^*) = \frac{1}{M_\alpha}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{nl}$,

for all α, $\beta \in \widehat{G}$ *and for all* $k, l, m, n \in \{1, ..., d_\alpha\}$, *where* $f_{-1}(u^\alpha_{ij})$ *are the entries of the matrix* F_α^{-1}.

Let $u^\alpha \in K(H_\alpha) \otimes A$ be an irreducible representation of G. Then H_α is finite dimensional and denote $d_\alpha = dim H_\alpha$. Define the following subsets of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{u^\alpha} = lin\{u^\alpha_{ij} \mid 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant d_\alpha\}$$
$$\mathcal{A}^k_{u^\alpha} = lin\{u^\alpha_{ki} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_\alpha\}$$

with $k \in \{1, ..., d_\alpha\}$. Let

$$H_h(u^\alpha) = \overline{\pi_h(\mathcal{A}_{u^\alpha})\xi_h}^{\|\cdot\|} \subseteq H_h$$

and

$$H^k_h(u^\alpha) = \overline{\pi_h(\mathcal{A}^k_{u^\alpha})\xi_h}^{\|\cdot\|} \subseteq H_h(u^\alpha) \subseteq H_h.$$

Since for $\alpha, \beta \in \widehat{G}$, $\alpha \neq \beta$,

$$\langle \pi_h(u^\alpha_{ij})\xi_h, \pi_h(u^\beta_{kl})\xi_h \rangle = h({u^\beta_{kl}}^* u^\alpha_{ij}) = 0,\ \forall i, j \in \{1, ..., d_\alpha\},\ \forall k, l \in \{1, ..., d_\beta\}$$

then

$$H_h = \sum_{\alpha \in \widehat{G}} \oplus H_h(u^\alpha), \tag{1}$$

as a direct sum of orthogonal spaces. Also,

$$H_h(u^\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} \oplus H^k_h(u^\alpha), \tag{2}$$

a direct sum of orthogonal spaces.

Among the unitary representations of G a special role is played by the right regular representation, defined by S. L. Woronowicz as follows:

Theorem 4 ([6], Theorem 5.1)**.** *With the notation introduced above*

(1) *There exists unique* $v \in \mathcal{M}(K(H) \otimes A)$ *such that*

$$[(id \otimes f)v]\pi_h(a)\xi_h = \pi_h(f * a)\xi_h$$

for any f *continuous linear functional on* A, *any* $a \in A$.

(2) v *is a unitary representation of* G.

(3) *The set*

$$\{(\rho\otimes id)v \mid \rho\, continuous\, linear\, functional\, on\, K(H_h)\}$$

is dense in A.

Then v is called the right regular representation of the compact quantum group G. The set of intertwiners of v and the definition of $C^*(G)$ are given in the following theorem:

Theorem 5 ([6], Theorem 4.1)**.** *Let v be the right regular representation of a compact quantum group $G=(A,\Delta)$. Let $C^*(G)$ be the norm closure of the set of all operators of the form*

$$\mathcal{F}_v(a)=(id\otimes ha)(v^*),$$

where $a\in A$. Then

1. $v\in\mathcal{M}(C^*(G)\otimes A)$
2. *An operator $X\in B(H_h)$ intertwines v with itself (i.e. $(X\otimes id)v=v(X\otimes id)$) if and only if X commutes with all elements of $C^*(G)$.*

Consider now $V\in B(H_h\otimes H_h)$ defined by $V=(id\otimes\pi_h)v$. The following result is implicitly contained in ([5], [6]):

Lemma 6. *$V(\pi_h(a)\xi_h\otimes\xi)=(\pi_h\otimes\pi_h)(\Delta(a))(\xi_h\otimes\xi)$, $\forall a\in A$, $\forall\xi\in H_h$.*

With $H_h(\overline{u^\alpha})$ introduced above, the orthogonal projection onto this subspace of H_h is $p_\alpha=F_v(a_\alpha)$. Using the multiplication in $C^*(G)$ as described in ([6], formula 4.4) one can show that p_α is a central projection in $C^*(G)$. By equation 1 above, $\sum p_\alpha = Id_{B(H_h)}$. Therefore

$$C^*(G)=\overline{\sum_{\alpha\in\widehat{G}} C^*(G)p_\alpha},$$

norm closure in $B(H_h)$, where $\sum_{\alpha\in\widehat{G}} C^*(G)p_\alpha$ denotes the set of all finite sums.

The structure of $C^*(G)p_\alpha$ is described by the next proposition:

Proposition 7. *For every $\alpha\in\widehat{G}$, there is an isomorphism between $C^*(G)p_\alpha\subseteq B(H_h(u^\alpha))$ and the full matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}_{d_\alpha\times d_\alpha}$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha\in\widehat{G}$. Set $c_{ij}=M_\alpha(u^\alpha_{ij}*f_1)^*\in\mathcal{A}$, for all $1\leqslant i,j\leqslant d_\alpha$. Note that c_{ij} are linearly independent and

$$lin\{c_{ij}\mid 1\leqslant i,j\leqslant d_\alpha\}=\mathcal{A}_{\overline{u^\alpha}}.$$

For each $1\leqslant i,j\leqslant d_\alpha$ let $E_{ij}=F_v(c_{ij})^*F_v(a_\alpha)$. Then $E_{ij}(u^\alpha_{rs})^*=\delta_{sj}(u^\alpha_{ri})^*$ and therefore $E_{kl}E_{ij}=\delta_{il}E_{kj}$. The operator E_{ij} can be represented as a block diagonal matrix. Each $d_\alpha\times d_\alpha$ block on the diagonal is given by the matrix $m_{ij}=[a_{kl}]_{1\leqslant k,l\leqslant d_\alpha}$, for all $1\leqslant i,j\leqslant d_\alpha$, where

$$a_{kl}=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if k=i and l=j}\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The application $E_{ij}\longmapsto m_{ij}$, $1\leqslant i,j\leqslant d_\alpha$ establishes an isomorphism between $C^*(G)p_\alpha$ and the full matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}_{d_\alpha\times d_\alpha}$. Since the algebras $C^*(G)p_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{M}_{d_\alpha\times d_\alpha}$ are finite dimensional, they are $*$-isomorphic. □

Remark 8. *Since the unit p_α of $B(H_h(u^\alpha))$ belongs to $C^*(G)p_\alpha$, then $C^*(G)p_\alpha$ is a subfactor of $B(H_h(u^\alpha))$. Using ([2], page 118) $B(H_h(u^\alpha))$ is isomorphic to $C^*(G)p_\alpha \otimes (C^*(G)p_\alpha)'$ and, by reasons of dimension, $(C^*(G)p_\alpha)'$ is isomorphic to the full matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}_{d_\alpha \times d_\alpha}$.*

The description of the right regular representation is given by S. L. Woronowicz ([6], Proposition 5.4). He proved that every irreducible representation enters the right regular representation with the multiplicity equal to its dimension. The result is certainly known for classical compact groups (see for instance [1], Section 27.49). A. Maes and A. Van Daele ([3], Theorem 6.7) gave a simpler proof to the fact that every irreducible representation is contained in the right regular representation. The aim of this paper is to give a proof of Woronowicz's result, including the multiplicities, which is an adaptation of the corresponding result for classical compact groups and is different from both Woronowicz's and Maes and Van Daele's proofs. The proof we give is based on the structure of $C^*(G)$ and its commutant and provides a concrete decomposition of the right regular representation in irreducible components.

Proposition 9 ([6], Proposition 5.4)**.** *The right regular representation v contains all irreducible representations of G. Each irreducible representation enters v with the multiplicity equal to its dimension, i.e. $v = \sum\limits_{\alpha \in \widehat{G}} \oplus\, d_\alpha u^\alpha$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$. We will prove that $H_h^k(\overline{u^\alpha})$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant d_\alpha$ are invariant for V and the restriction of V to any of these subspaces is equivalent to $u^{\overline{\alpha}}$. Since $\forall \beta \in \widehat{G}$, $\exists \alpha \in \widehat{G}$ such that $u^{\overline{\alpha}} \sim u^\beta$ then the conclusion will follow.

Using Lemma 6 with $a = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} \lambda_i {u_{ki}^\alpha}^*$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\pi_h(\sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} \lambda_i {u_{ki}^\alpha}^*)\xi_h \otimes \eta) &= (\pi_h \otimes \pi_h)(\Delta(\sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} \lambda_i {u_{ki}^\alpha}^*))(\xi_h \otimes \eta) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\alpha} \lambda_i \pi_h({u_{kj}^\alpha}^*)\xi_h \otimes \pi_h({u_{ji}^\alpha}^*)\xi_h \in H_h^k(\overline{u^\alpha}) \otimes H_h. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with p_α^k the orthogonal projection onto $H_h^k(\overline{u^\alpha})$, we obtain

$$V(p_\alpha^k \otimes 1) = (p_\alpha^k \otimes 1)V(p_\alpha^k \otimes 1)$$

and hence (since p_α^k are orthogonal projections by Theorem 3)

$$V(p_\alpha^k \otimes 1) = (p_\alpha^k \otimes 1)V.$$

Hence p_α^k is intertwining for V, so by Theorem 5, $p_\alpha^k \in (C^*(G))'$. Since $p_\alpha \in C^*(G)$ it follows that $p_\alpha^k \in (C^*(G)p_\alpha)'$.

We prove next that the restriction of v to any $H_h^k(\overline{u^\alpha})$ is equivalent to $\overline{u^\alpha}$ and therefore equivalent to $u^{\overline{\alpha}}$. This restriction is given by $v(p_\alpha^k \otimes 1)$.

Let $k_0 \in \{1, ..., d_\alpha\}$. We show that $V(p_\alpha^{k_0} \otimes 1) \sim \overline{U^\alpha}$, where $\overline{U^\alpha} = (id \otimes \pi_h)\overline{u^\alpha}$, by proving that $\exists S \in B(\overline{H_\alpha}, H_h)$ such that

$$V(p_\alpha^{k_0} \otimes 1)(S \otimes 1) = (S \otimes 1)\overline{U^\alpha}. \tag{3}$$

Define $S : \overline{H_\alpha} \to H_h$ by:

$$S\xi_i = \pi_h({u_{k_0 i}^\alpha}^*)\xi_h \in H_h^k(\overline{u^\alpha}) \subset H_h$$

where $\{\xi_i \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $\overline{H_\alpha}$, the Hilbert space of the representation $\overline{u^\alpha}$. Note that $\overline{H_\alpha}$ coincides with $H_{\overline{\alpha}}$.

Then, with ξ_{i_0} in the basis above and $\eta \in H_h$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V(p_\alpha^{k_0} \otimes 1)(S \otimes 1)(\xi_{i_0} \otimes \eta) &= V(p_\alpha^{k_0} \otimes 1)(\pi_h({u^\alpha_{k_0 i_0}}^*)\xi_h \otimes \eta) \\
&= V(\pi_h({u^\alpha_{k_0 i_0}}^*)\xi_h \otimes \eta) \\
&= (\pi_h \otimes \pi_h)(\Delta({u^\alpha_{k_0 i_0}}^*))(\xi_h \otimes \eta) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{d_\alpha} \pi_h({u^\alpha_{k_0 j}}^*)\xi_h \otimes \pi_h({u^\alpha_{j i_0}}^*)\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(S \otimes 1)\overline{U^\alpha}(\xi_{i_0} \otimes \eta) &= (S \otimes 1)(id \otimes \pi_h)\overline{u^\alpha}(\xi_{i_0} \otimes \eta) \\
&= (S \otimes 1)(id \otimes \pi_h)(\sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\alpha} m_{ij} \otimes {u^\alpha_{ij}}^*)(\xi_{i_0} \otimes \eta) \\
&= (S \otimes 1)(\sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\alpha} m_{ij}\xi_{i_0} \otimes \pi_h({u^\alpha_{ij}}^*)\eta) \\
&= (S \otimes 1)(\sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\alpha} \delta_{j i_0}\xi_i \otimes \pi_h({u^\alpha_{ij}}^*)\eta) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} \pi_h({u^\alpha_{k_0 i}}^*)\xi_h \otimes \pi_h({u^\alpha_{i i_0}}^*)\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $V(p_\alpha^{k_0} \otimes 1)(S \otimes 1) = (S \otimes 1)\overline{U^\alpha}$. From this relation the conclusion will follow using the following arguments: since $v(p_\alpha \otimes 1)$ is a finite dimensional representation then $v(p_\alpha \otimes 1) \in B(\overline{H_\alpha}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$. Since h is faithful on $\mathcal{A}$, (3) above implies $v(p_\alpha^k \otimes 1) \sim \overline{u^\alpha}$ for all k and, since $\overline{u^\alpha} \sim u^{\overline{\alpha}}$, the conclusion follows. □

REFERENCES

[1] E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

[2] N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, Vol. 37, Providence, Rhode Island, 1956.

[3] A. Maes, A. Van Daele, *Notes on Compact Quantum Groups*, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde 16 (1998), 73-112.

[4] A. Van Daele, *The Haar Measure on a Compact Quantum Group*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 123, No. 10 (1995) , pp. 3125-3128.

[5] S. L. Woronowicz, *Compact matrix pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys. 111 (1987), no. 4, 613-665.

[6] S. L. Woronowicz, *Compact quantum groups*, Quantum Symmetries, Proceedings of the Les Houches summer school (1995), North Holland, Amsterdam (1998), 845-884.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, CINCINNATI, OH

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA